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1 Introduction

Alternative research streams and approaches often face strong opposition in their own scientific fields. It is often hard to legitimize relatively new thoughts especially if they threaten what has been established as scientific “facts” in a research community. Opposition to the new might be fierce and opponents are likely to have better reputation and equipment. “A new scientific truth”, Max Planck already remarked, “does not triumph by convincing its opponents and making them see the light, but rather because its opponents eventually die, and a new generation grows up that is familiar with it” (Planck, 1948, p. 22).

The German reunification in the 1990s led to the dismantling of a great number of chairs, institutes and whole research organizations and a broad institutional restructuring in academia in the eastern part of the country. Reasons included political motives but in several instances also a lack of fit between what had been researched under the “old” system and what was considered interesting in the “new” one. This was especially the case for Economics (including Business Administration). Whole faculties were completely re-build and often newly founded at several East-German universities. Therefore, the 1990s saw a comparatively large number of vacancies in this discipline. The new generation of professors quite often came from Western Germany and was well acquainted with the “scientific truths”. The question is, whether these researchers used the opportunity to explore new fields and ideas that had had difficulties to stand their ground against established (and perhaps more reputed) researchers in the West or whether they chose to mimic research on well-established and less ambiguous topics. In the former case we would expect a long-lasting divide between research in Economics in the eastern and western part of Germany, whereas in the latter a growing coherence could be expected.

We analyze PhD-Theses in Economics and Business Administration handed in at universities in Western and Eastern Germany before and after 1990 to shed light on this issue. We extract text corpora out of theses’ titles, which constitute a very condensed form of their research content. The 20 most frequent words of these corpora are compared in a rank correlation test. Results are compared to dissertations in Chemistry, a “hard” scientific realm, much less affected by socialist ideology before the reunification and much less a subject to restructuring thereafter.

Not surprisingly, results indicate two completely separated research streams in Economics in the two Germanies before 1990. In Chemistry this divide also exists but is less pronounced. After 1990 research topics converge in both research fields, but Economics shows much more dynamism in this process. This hints at an imitation of established and reputed research topics rather than an exploration of niches by newly established Economics departments in Eastern Germany.

The paper proceeds as follows: Section 2 elaborates on the scientific systems in the two Germanies before the reunification. We consider differences in organization of scientific work, tertiary education, granting of PhDs and involvement of the government as most relevant for our study. Furthermore we discuss the impact of the reunification, compare our two research fields, Economics and Chemistry and derive hypotheses for the development of research topics. Section 3 introduces our data and methods. Results are presented in section 4 and discussed in section 5, which concludes the paper.
2 Research in Germany before and after reunification

Since the birth of both Germanies in 1949 the inner-German relationship was characterized by a competition of political (and economical) systems. Walter Ulbricht, prominent veteran Socialist of the German Democratic Republic (GDR) was renowned for his saying of “overtaking without catching-up”. The early Socialists strived to demonstrate the superiority of Socialism over Capitalism, with a central role of scientific and technological achievements. Even the constitution of the GDR claimed that the foremost aim of a Socialist society was to increase the effectiveness of scientific-technological development and labor productivity (GDR, 1974, §2, 1).

This orientation of scientific advancement on productivity considerations dated back at least to Lenin (1976) and had consequences for the academic landscape of the GDR. Industrial application of findings was heavily emphasized. Basic research was almost exclusively carried out by universities, but freedom of choice of the research objects was increasingly difficult and almost impossible since the 1960s (Gruhn and Lauterbach, 1979). PhD candidates had minimal freedom in choosing their research objects. The case of the Humboldt University in Berlin shows that roughly two thirds of the topics of dissertations followed the five-year research plans of the government (Wollgast, 2001). Furthermore, international contacts were more or less limited to members of the Council for Mutual Economic Assistance (COMECON) and access to Western academics and their publications were difficult (Mann et al., 1979). Moreover, limited financial resources made internationally competitive research impossible in the majority of scientific fields.

However, the conditions of career advancement in academia closely resembled those in the West. The average student in the GDR had to complete a basic part and an advanced (or specialized) part of his study to earn a degree. Afterwards, a dissertation (Promotion A) had to be written to obtain the degree “Dr.” in a scientific field. Contrary to the Republic of Germany, the GDR had universal requirements for the award of a PhD title that included a fair amount of ideology (GDR, 1968, §5, 1). PhD degrees could be earned through research studies (2-3 years, similar to a graduate school), employment at a university chair (usually four years contract) or through distinction in industrial and societal engagement (similar to an external PhD candidate) (Belitz-Demiriz and Voigt, 1990a; Guenther, 1989).

The transition and political change in Germany in 1990 had a deep impact on academic institutions, most notably in scientific fields that were heavily affected by socialist ideology. The prime example is Economics that was almost completely dismantled and rebuild from the ground, often involving new personnel, structures and research agendas. This fundamental change is of noteworthy interest, because it opens up the opportunity to analyze how a relatively large amount of suddenly open positions was filled and what kind of research newly appointed chairs preferred. Three avenues of development seem to be quite likely a priori: First, the newly emerging “Eastern” Economics could have formed a microcosm of its own inheriting the research focus of Economists from the GDR before 1990. For reasons mentioned above (institutional and personnel discontinuity) this seems rather unlikely, but could be discernable e. g. in research subjects (for instance with a pre-dominant focus on comparative studies between the GDR and FRG). Second, the newly instituted chairs could have fully blended in with their Western colleagues and focus on “mainstream” topics in their research field. This seems rather likely especially because many appointed chairs came from Western Germany. However, it is noteworthy that this would cause direct competition between established and new research groups and would put the newly instituted Economic faculties under severe
pressure. A third possibility is therefore that the new faculty used the historical opportunity to establish an own research agenda that was competitive but quite dissimilar from the whole field of Economics in the West. This would also resonate well with the quote by Max Planck because the newly appointed professors in the East were most likely acquainted with the newest “scientific truths” and had the opportunity to pursue research in new directions free of the shackles of evolved (and perhaps encrusted) institutions.

3 Data and Method

Dataset
We probe into the issue described above by relying on PhD theses handed in at universities in the East and in the West of Germany both before and after the reunification as a formalized representation of scientific work. We examine the evolution of PhD theses’ titles in Economics and comparing them to those in Chemistry, a scientific field arguably less affected by the reunification. Our work rests on a number of presumptions: First, in Germany the advisor (often dubbed the Doktorvater) has a strong influence on the advisee and his choice of research topic. Moreover, the advisor is usually required to have a chair at a university as only these are entitled to grant PhDs. The second important assumption is that the title of a thesis represents its content in a very condensed form. Together, both assumptions lead to the conjecture that the research focus of an individual (the advisor) is reflected in the titles of theses handed in at an entity (a university) that he is presumably affiliated with.

We utilize the online catalogue of the German National Library (Deutsche Nationalbibliothek, DNB) as basis for our analysis. The catalogue lists the vast majority of PhD theses handed in at German universities including the former GDR.¹ Entries sum up to roughly one million entities for PhD theses which are classified according to subject. We use this classification to distinguish between Economic and Chemistry and employ information on university location (cities, name of university or a combination of both) to separate East from West.² Our final sample includes 11,420 dissertations.

Attention has also been paid to issues of timing. Since we are interested in the effect of the reunification, the year 1990 marks a natural cut-off point. However, we want to exclude dissertation projects that had been already underway but not completed before 1990 as those might tell a completely different story (which is a possible avenue for further research). Historical accounts moreover show slightly different averages for completing a PhD in Economics/Chemistry³ especially before the reunification. Before 1990 a PhD in Economics and Chemistry took six years in the East and four years in the West (Belitz-Demiriz and Voigt, 1990; Wience, 1989). After 1990 the average was about five years in Economics (Bomman and Enders, 2001) and could not be reliably determined in Chemistry (which is therefore assumed to also last five years on average). We take these two issues into account by choosing base years accordingly: 1978 till 1982 before the reunification

¹ The DNB has the official mission of collecting a volume of all literature (and other materials) issued in Germany including PhD these at least since 1969 (DNB, 1969).
² The institution “University of Berlin” could not be reliably classified and entries have been removed from the data.
³ Before 1990 data is only available on the level of social sciences and natural sciences, which are used for Economics and Chemistry respectively.
(corresponding to completion years 1982-1986 in the West and 1984-1988 in the East because of the different durations) and 1991 till 1995 thereafter (corresponding to completion years 1996-2000). In order to offset variation resulting from idiosyncrasies in specific years we always analyze a moving average of the focal year and its predecessor (e. g. base years 1977/78, 1978/79, etc.).

Lastly, the differentiation into East and West (see above) revealed that East Germany (both before and after the reunification) seems to produce only a fraction of PhD theses of the West. This does not seem to be a data artifact as our numbers of theses for the East is well in line with prior findings (Guenter, 1989). The practice of keeping theses secret in the GDR should also be irrelevant since all those dissertations have been made publicly available after the reunification (Bleek and Mertens, 1994). Therefore, the lower number is most likely due to a smaller number of universities in the East (and in connection with this to a lower number of inhabitants). Table 1 gives an overview.

**Table 1: Number of dissertations per two-year-window**

now cleaned titles are split into text corpora according to base year. We therefore end up with a total of 40 such corpora containing all theses’ titles of two subsequent years, five for each period (before and after reunification), location (East and West) and scientific field (Economics and Chemistry).

Statistical Testing
We rely on quantitative linguistics to analyze our collection of corpora. This presumes that corpora share the same language. This assumption seems to be justified as the vast majority of our titles are in German and stem from a very standardized kind of document that should also share a common scientific vocabulary.

Our approach is simple and straightforward. We sort individual words according to their frequency and compare ranks using Spearman’s correlation coefficient. To do this, links of words to titles have to be dissolved which is justified in our case since we are comparing whole scientific fields, not individual titles. Moreover, Zipf’s law constitutes an inverse proportionality between the rank and the frequency of a given word (Zipf, 1968 and 1972). Therefore, the top-most words in our listings should be representative of the majority of the corpus. Specifically, according to Zipf’s law the first 20 ranks already contain any randomly drawn word of the corpus with a probability of almost 50%. Thus, we focus our analyses on the first 20 ranks of our listings. Since we always compare an East German to a West German corpus, we could use either top-twenty list as the basis for comparison, which may influence the result. Since our corpora should contain roughly the same amount of titles from both locations (see the sampling in West German titles above), the solution is to combine both lists into a single one (cf. Kilgarriff, 2001). Finally, words that are not included in one of the lists (but in the other) cannot be compared. To get rid of this problem we assign the lowest rank (“20” in our case) to any word that is affected by this issue.

The analyses of ranks and the Spearman coefficient alone are not quite sufficient to allow for conclusions on the question of how fast titles converged (or diverged) after the reunification. We therefore conduct a test of structural breaks according to Chow (1960). We regress the Spearman coefficient on the year and test whether two separate regressions for the years 1978-82 and 1991-95 have a higher explanatory power than a single regression for the whole time span (in each scientific field).

4 Results
Economics
A look at the top-twenty ranks of the combined list in Economics already reveals some interesting results (see table 2). Before the reunification, there are a total of 34 words that exist only in the East (yellow) or West (green), whereas after 1990, there is only one word. This is already suggestive of an increasing overlap in theses’ topics after the reunification.
Table 2: Ranks of individual words in Economics (East/West combined) according to base year (green = words only in the West German corpus, yellow = only in the East German corpus; * = rank correlation calculated taking tied ranks into account (cf. Bortz, 2005))

Furthermore, the rank correlation ($\rho_S$) is generally increasing over the years. This can also be illustrated in a heat map (table 3). Before the reunification a substantial amount of the top-twenty words has a high rank difference between the East and West German text corpora (indicated by yellow/red color). With the exception of the base year 1991, rank differences are less pronounced after 1990. It was not possible to calculate a rank correlation coefficient for the base year 1991, because the number of tied/missing ranks is too high. This also supports our initial conjecture that PhD projects directly affected by the reunification have to be treated separately.

Table 3: Heat map of rank differences in Economics between East and West (green = small difference, red = large difference)

Finally, the Chow test (Figure 1) illustrates a structural break in the development of the rank correlation coefficient that is significant at a 5-percent level. Whereas rank differences before 1990 indicate neither convergence, nor divergence of topics, thereafter rank correlation rapidly converges
with a coefficient quadrupling from 0.1804 in the base year 1992 to 0.8388 in 1995. There is, however, no sudden jumps but rather a slow process of convergence that took several years.

**Figure 1:** Chow test for structural break in the development of rank correlation (Economics)

![Chow test for structural break](figure)

**Chemistry**

In Chemistry there is less “missing” topics and a relatively high cohesion in the combined top-twenty list in comparison to Economics (see table 4a). However, the correlation coefficients show a rather high difference in ranks before the reunification which may be suggestive of different local emphasis in topics.

**Table 4a and 4b:** Ranks of individual words in Chemistry and heat map (for color coding see table 2 and 3 above)

![Heat map](table)

On the other hand, similar to Economics the heat map (table 4b) illustrates convergence in ranks (more green after 1990). Again, the base year 1991 marks an exception with a number of words that have an extremely high difference in ranks between East and West. The Chow test in Chemistry (figure 2) indicates a (significant) structural break in the development of rank correlation over time. However, this time the change is from rapidly increasing convergence even before the reunification to a slightly less rapid development thereafter.
5 Discussion and Conclusion

We analyzed PhD-theses in Economics (and Chemistry) handed in at universities in Western and Eastern Germany before and after 1990 to shed light on this issue whether suddenly open positions at universities had been used to establish new research streams (“nitching”) or to follow more established routes of research (“hitching”). Our results indicate that the latter seems to be the case. Topics of PhD theses in the field of Economics written in the East and West of Germany, rather divided before the reunification, rapidly converge after 1990. We take this as evidence that new chair holders at universities in the East often coming from universities in the West did refrain from the opportunity of pursuing radically new ideas, but rather tended to rely on already established research topics.

Our research is not without some limitations. The usage of Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient has some drawbacks as it weights all distances between different ranks equally. Moreover, it is susceptible to tied and missing ranks. Cluster and network analysis may provide some superior instruments, but have tighter requirements on data and computational capacity. Furthermore, the text corpora that we end up with are comparatively small, because theses’ titles contain few words comparatively to what is usually used in quantitative linguistics (e. g. abstracts and full-text documents). Another challenge in this regard may come from the sampling of the West German dissertations that had to be employed to make the size of corpora comparable. Finally, the stopwords and stemming algorithm are sensitive to variations. We have already put some effort into better validation of the stopword-list and will continue to improve on this in the future.
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