



UNITED NATIONS
UNIVERSITY

UNU-MERIT

Working Paper Series

#2019-048

Confronting the challenge of immigrant and refugee student underachievement: Policies and practices from Canada, New Zealand and the European Union

Özge Bilgili, Louis Volante, Don A. Klinger and Melissa Siegel

Maastricht Economic and social Research institute on Innovation and Technology (UNU-MERIT)

email: info@merit.unu.edu | website: <http://www.merit.unu.edu>

Boschstraat 24, 6211 AX Maastricht, The Netherlands

Tel: (31) (43) 388 44 00

UNU-MERIT Working Papers

ISSN 1871-9872

Maastricht Economic and social Research Institute on Innovation and Technology

UNU-MERIT

UNU-MERIT Working Papers intend to disseminate preliminary results of research carried out at UNU-MERIT to stimulate discussion on the issues raised.

Title:

Confronting the Challenge of Immigrant and Refugee Student Underachievement:
Policies and Practices from Canada, New Zealand and the European Union

Authors / Affiliations / Emails:

- 1) Özge Bilgili (PhD)
Affiliation: Assistant Professor, Utrecht University / Affiliated Researcher, UNU-MERIT
Email: o.bilgili@uu.nl
- 2) Louis Volante (PhD)
Affiliation: Professor, Brock University / Professorial Fellow, UNU-MERIT
Email: lvolante@brocku.ca & volante@merit.unu.edu
- 3) Don A. Klinger (PhD)
Affiliation: Pro Vic-Chancellor, University of Waikato
Email: dklinger@waikato.ac.nz
- 4) Melissa Siegel (PhD)
Affiliation: Professor, UNU-MERIT / Maastricht University
Email: siegel@merit.unu.edu

JEL Classification Codes:

Z18 Public Policy & Z19 Other (Education Policy)

Keywords:

Education Policy; Student Achievement; Immigrant Students.

Acknowledgement:

This research is supported by the Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada (SSHRC)

Abstract:

Immigrant and refugee students consistently demonstrate a performance disadvantage when one considers their achievement against non-immigrant students. This paper examines the double- and triple-disadvantages that characterise immigrant and refugee student groups. To highlight the different levels of adversity they face, not only to socioeconomic background characteristics but also migration trajectory related factors are mentioned. Next, the paper synthesises trends from policies and practices associated with more favourable student outcomes. Concrete examples are discussed from the cases of Canada, New Zealand and the European Union. Finally, implications for policymakers, educational leaders, and schools are discussed. The paper concludes with a critical view on simply policy borrowing and calls for contextually and culturally responsive adaptation of promising policies and the implementation of new policies that effectively engage communities and enhance the skills of educators.

Introduction

The education of immigrant and refugee students has become a prominent concern with the increased mobility of these populations to popular Western destination countries such as the United States, Germany, United Kingdom, Canada, France, Australia, Spain, and Italy (United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs, 2015). Governments, particularly those within industrialised nations, are often faced with the unenviable task of developing policies and extending specific supports to immigrant students against the backdrop of fiscal restraints. In an increasing number of contexts, these policies and supports may also be situated within geopolitical environments that possess a growing anti-immigrant sentiment (Marsh, 2015). Yet, the international research suggests that the prospects for immigrants and refugees is particularly bleak if they are not able to thrive in their respective host school system – with deleterious effects for the economic and social well-being of individuals and nation states (Volante, Klinger, Siegel, & Bilgili, 2017).

The international community is able to draw upon pockets of success within and across various countries to isolate policies and practices that have been more (or less) successful in ameliorating the performance disadvantage associated with immigrant student groups. Indeed, there are even select jurisdictions around the world where immigrant students may outperform their non-immigrant peers (see Volante, Klinger, Bilgili, & Siegel, 2017). This paper synthesises trends from empirically validated education policies and practices associated with more favourable educational outcomes. Implications of our analyses for policymakers, educational leaders, and schools are also discussed.

Immigrant student achievement results are situated within nation states that possess distinct political, economic, cultural, and social characteristics. Nevertheless, researchers have been able to identify a cadre of broad cross-cultural contextual features that appear to ease the transition of immigrants and by extension their children (Migrant Integration Policy Index, 2015). Policymakers around the world must find ways to understand why immigrant students who share a common country of origin, and therefore many cultural similarities, underperform in particular national contexts (OECD, 2013; 2015a; 2015b). For example, how does one account for the fact that Turkish-born students in Germany perform almost two years lower than similar students in the Netherlands, even after adjusting for different economic backgrounds (The Economist, 2016). Similarly, why do immigrant students with the same cultural background and background characteristics tend to fare better in Canada versus the United States (Cardoza, 2018). These types of relationships are fairly abundant and underscore the important role that policies, practices, and national context can play in the life chances of immigrant student populations around the world. Ultimately, the extensive literature underscores the important role that characteristics within and across nations play in influencing the achievement levels of immigrant student groups (see Bilgili, Huddleston, & Joki, 2015; Brunello & Rocco, 2013; Dronkers & de Heus, 2013; Levels, Dronkers, & Kraaykamp, 2008; Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development, 2015c; Schlicht-Schmalzle, & Moller, 2012; Volante, Klinger, & Bilgili, 2018).

Adversity among Immigrant and Refugee Students: Double and Triple Disadvantages

Before moving on to policy discussions it is important to acknowledge that all immigrant and refugee students have at least one thing in common: they all face adversity as a function of their migrant status. The level and depth of adversity experienced vary by their socioeconomic

background as well as the characteristics of their migration trajectory and the subsequent experiences (Bilgili, 2017). A great deal of variability exists within immigrant students, which is significantly influenced by the SES of individual families. That is, immigrant students from low SES groups tend to underperform relative to immigrants from higher SES groups, both within and across countries (Alba, Sloan, & Sperling, 2011; OECD, 2011, 2012). This “double disadvantage” is perhaps the most prominent challenge for policymakers, namely to improve the outcomes of an immigrant student population that may be struggling to integrate into a new school system.

A number of other characteristics related to the migration trajectory also influence the severity of adversity. These include (cultural) distance, time and immigrant-generation, migration motivation and intensity of the migration journey (e.g. level of preparedness) (Bilgili, 2017). For example, refugee students face additional challenges because of gaps in their education due to missed school and/or trauma resulting from exposure to war. In many ways, refugee students are at a triple disadvantage in that they are routinely in a precarious economic situation and may be grappling with lingering psychosocial effects associated with past conflict (Volante, Klinger, Siegel, & Yahia, 2019). Regrettably, cross-national data and research also suggests that immigrant students are more susceptible to bullying and school safety issues in their host society (Garver & Noguera, 2015; Hong, Merrin, Crosby, Jozefowicz, Lee, & Allen-Meares, 2016; Katschnig & Hastedt, 2017; OECD, 2017).

Collectively, these double and triple disadvantages are formidable challenges for policymakers. Fortunately, the existing literature suggests that these immigrant and refugee student groups can rise above these challenges if provided with targeted supports and evidenced-based policies. These academically resilient pockets of student success offer the broader community an important reference point when contemplating large-scale educational reforms. The next section examines the emerging research literature on academic resiliency and its concomitant implications (see Agasisti & Longobardi, 2017; Bilgili, 2017).

Refugee Integration Policies – Pockets of Success

The patterns of immigration have changed, resulting in an increased diversity of cultures migrating, albeit largely to Western nations. These immigrants also vary in terms of their backgrounds as many nations, for example Canada, New Zealand, and Australia have specific procedures to attract immigrants based on needed skills or financial investment, while also accepting refugees from various countries. Given the diversity of migrants, both in terms of cultural and financial backgrounds, it is not surprising that more bespoke practices and policies are being implemented to support immigrant groups based on their recent backgrounds. In this section, we discuss “pockets of success” looking at the cases of Canada, New Zealand and the European Union.

Canada has long been a source of high levels of immigration, and it is one of the jurisdictions in which the immigrant disadvantage is less pronounced or not found. Educational policies throughout the country have a long history of providing publicly funded English and French Language Learners (ELL/FLL) support for both first, second, and where required, subsequent generations of immigrant students (and adults) for whom English or French is not their first language (e.g., Alberta Education, 2017; British Columbia Government, 2013; Ontario Education, 2007). Teacher training and funding are provided to ensure high quality language learning opportunities and successful integration.

Canada serves as one of the destination countries for refugees, with the majority of current refugees coming from Syria (Statista, 2018). Refugees comprise just over 10% of the total number of immigrants to Canada (Citizenship and Immigration Canada, 2014). This has led to a recognition of the need for more focused policies and supports for such immigrants, that not only support language development, but also integration, reunification, and protection (Braun, 2016, Cheng & Yan, 2018). Private refugee sponsorship, often through churches or community groups, and government sponsored programmes provide the foundation for supporting refugee families as they endeavour to settle across the country. At the same time, provincial Ministries of Education have also developed policies to support refugee students. The federal Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada (SSHRC) also supported a scoping review to identify challenges that schools would need to address in order to support refugee students including increased focus on cross-cultural competence and social justice (Ratković, Kovačević, Brewer, Ellis, Ahmed, & Baptiste-Brady, 2017). As one example, Manitoba published a series of refugee stories in order to help teachers understand the circumstances and experiences of the refugee students coming into their classrooms.

Also in the case of Ontario, schools are considered as a social community hub for enhancing interactions and collaborative actions between community members (see Bilgili, 2017). The goal of enhancing communication through school activities aims to support sociocultural acculturation of both immigrant and refugee students. By incorporating both before and after school activities, schools seek to reach out to students as well as their families. Key to the emerging success within these Canadian contexts has been the multiple efforts across sectors and community stakeholders to understand the needs of refugee families and children, obtain public or private resources, and the development of relevant skills to support refugee families and children.

New Zealand provides another salient example of a country with relatively high levels of successful immigration. Given its relatively small population size (approximately 4.8 million people), the extent of New Zealand's immigration is best viewed in terms of proportions rather than raw numbers. According to the 2013 census, 25% of the people living in New Zealand were born outside of New Zealand (Statistics New Zealand, 2017). The vast majority of immigrants to New Zealand come from Asia and the United Kingdom; however, the diversity of countries of origin has increased over the recent years (Law, Genc, & Bryant, 2013; Poskitt, 2018). Along with economic immigration, New Zealand also accepts approximately 1,000 refugees each year as part of its responsibility through the United Nations quota programme. Refugees can also enter New Zealand through family reunification and through request for asylum. Supports for refugees include a 6 week stay at a resettlement centre, medical and needs assessment, and enhanced educational supports.

In terms of education, the immigrant and refugee educational policies and practices seem to have a positive impact. New Zealand is third in terms of educational support for immigrants according to the Migrant Integration Policy Index (MIPEX) (Huddleston, 2015). As one example of such support, immigrants from non-English speaking countries receive English for speakers of other languages funding. As noted by New Zealand education "refugees receive more intensive funding support for the first 2 years at school here, followed by 3 years of standard funding" (New Zealand Education, 2018). The Ministry of Education also provides regional advisors for immigrants and refugees. In recognition of the educational challenges that many refugee students may have faced prior to coming to New Zealand, schools have access to further funding support (Refugee Flexible Funding Pool). More recently, the Ministry of Education revised its 2003

educator handbook, *English for speakers of other languages: Refugee handbook for schools*. The handbook provides an extensive overview of refugee policies, backgrounds and guidelines for educators and school administrators in order to best support incoming refugee students (New Zealand Ministry of Education, 2003, 2016). As Poskitt (2018) noted in her analysis of targeted policy initiatives in New Zealand: “These glimmers of hope suggest that targeting resources to students who most need it is a strategy that may actually address performance differences and equity challenges associated with immigrants and students from less advantaged backgrounds” p. 191.

The European Union is at the centre of the industrialised world in terms of issues related to refugees and asylum seekers. Member countries of the European Union (EU) have been witness to a series of waves of refugees, with each wave being from predominantly different regions of the world. As a result, nations in the EU have become host to those fleeing from Eastern Europe, Africa, and the Middle East. These numbers peaked in 2015, creating what has been called either the “immigrant crisis” or the “refugee crisis” (e.g., European commission, n.d.; Harju-Luukkainen & McElvany, 2018). The impacts of this most recent wave of refugees and asylum seekers cannot be underestimated across the EU. It has become a focal point for elections, national policies, and increased anti-immigrant sentiment across the continent.

Countries such as Sweden, which accepted the largest proportion of refugees based on its population, and Germany, the European country host to the largest number of refugees, highlight both the challenges and opportunities to support the education of refugee students (e.g., Lundahl, & Lindblad, 2018). Certainly, the large numbers of refugee children arriving over a short period of time has been challenging. This challenge has been exacerbated by the geographic location of the EU which has resulted in a large influx of unaccompanied children entering as refugees. Sweden accepted the largest number of such refugee children (Çelikaksoy & Wadensjö, 2016) and Germany has specifically referred to these children as “*Unbegleitete minderjährige Flüchtlinge*” (which roughly translates to unaccompanied refugees who are minors in German) (Teltemann & Rauch, 2018). As an example, Teltemann and Rauch noted that 58,000 of these non-accompanied children arrived in Germany between 2015 and 2017.

Perhaps the response of countries within the EU provide “pockets of success” that are best considered in terms of growing recognition that current educational structures and systems are insufficient to meet the needs of both immigrant and refugee students. In Sweden, this can be observed in the realisation that current educational policies that promote school choice negatively impact refugee children and hinder their access to quality educational supports (Lundahl, & Lindblad, 2018). While not specifically targeted towards refugee children, recent recommendations have been made to lower the age for mandatory school entry and to provide more targeted supports to schools in underprivileged areas. Similarly, the German tradition of focused educational interventions towards language acquisition are increasingly understood as insufficient. As Teltemann and Rauch (2018) acknowledge, “little is known about the educational background of these [refugee] children, and the German educational system’s ability to deal with the sudden intake of refugees is still under question” (p. 38). Government policies are shifting to better target support, and teachers’ organisations in Germany are taking the lead in the call for more intensive and wider support (Vogel, & Stock, 2017). Vogel and Stock (2017) concluded that government, schools, and teacher unions would all need to work to not only enhance teacher education in relation to understanding the unique social and learning needs of refugee children, but also implement broader initiatives to promote human rights, social justice and anti-discrimination policies.

Considerations for Policy Reform

The previous literature and the “pockets of success” described above highlight not only the challenges faced by immigrant and refugee students but also the potential policies and practices that may help to ameliorate the current levels of underachievement found for these student groups. Along with the challenges related to second language development and lower socio-economic status commonly experienced by immigrants, refugee students often face significant gaps in their learning coupled with related trauma (e.g., Crosnoe, 2005; OECD, 2012; Patel, et al., 2017; Pottie, et al., 2015; Volante, Klinger, Siegel, & Yahia, under review). Recognising the different levels of adversity students face, we have called this a triple disadvantage, which we understand may still be underestimating the range of experiences and challenges faced by immigrant families and their children. And it is within this realm that educational researchers, policy makers, and practitioners are now focusing their attention.

The examples above highlight explicit efforts to better prepare educators to understand the challenges being faced by refugee children and to provide increased resources to support both teachers and students. These are critical initial steps but are likely not sufficient in themselves. When discussing education policies for immigrant and refugee children with considerations of promoting resilience, Bilgili (2017) calls for a wider focus on the social environment that shapes the experiences of children. By identifying the risk and protective roles associated by student’s social environment, more targeted and effective interventions and measures can be developed. In the case of immigrant students, research indicates that their academic outcomes are shaped not only by the resources and circumstances of their families and the communities they come from, but also the social and education policies of destination countries, and the attitudes towards immigrants that residents in their new communities express (Berry, Phinney, Sam & Vedder, 2006; Levels et al., 2008; Pieloch, McCullough & Marks, 2016). Therefore, particular attention needs to be paid to schools and school systems and how they can foster immigrant and refugee student’s resilience. By paying equal attention to family, community, school, and country characteristics, policymakers can identify other contextual and structural factors that explain student adjustment processes (Ungar, 2011). Moreover, policies risk to fail unless they are integrated with multi-level reform efforts to also support parents, communities, and other relevant professionals which support immigrant and refugee families.

The challenges are increasing as evidenced by the increased influx of refugees which has been increasingly referred to as a “refugee crisis.” This crisis includes a social milieu in which refugees are now entering countries in which the social and political climate may not be fully welcoming. Private sponsorship and family reunification models help to create a more welcoming environment, providing community commitment and support, while also providing a level of social protection. Similar levels of commitment and support are required at the school level, and this is in addition to the support provided by teachers in the classroom. As one example, the additional resources being provided to schools in New Zealand to support refugee students increase access to language training and counselling support. The ability to best direct these resources requires more specific training for school administrators and teachers beyond the resources being developed and circulated.

Our work here illustrates a range of salient policy directions for policymakers to consider as they grapple with the challenges of immigrant and refugee integration and educational underachievement. The latter is conceptually distinct from policy borrowing efforts that have tended to follow the results of international achievement surveys such as PISA, which seem to “borrow” in a rather simplistic, and some would argue, dangerous manner (see Grek, 2009;

Meyer & Zahedi, 2014; Volante, 2018). Such approaches seem always bound to fail and risk diverting a great deal of resources and attention from more viable approaches that are responsive to regional and national contexts. As such, policy direction efforts are likely to be the most successful when they carefully attend to their educational, political, cultural, and economic contexts to guide efforts to adapt particular policies and practices shown to have positive impacts in other international jurisdictions. These policy directions build on the available empirical evidence and best-practices in the field, while emphasising the constellation of characteristics and issues that impact immigrant and refugee underachievement within a given context.

It is also worth noting that while the available and emerging literature is quite broad, it is also constrained by the available student achievement data and corresponding surveys that are administered by international organisations such as the OECD and IEA. At present, these international achievement surveys have not accounted for circular migration patterns, which represent the norm for many first-generation immigrants around the world (Skeldon, 2013). As such, this limits the ability of researchers and policymakers to fully understand the impact of migration on social integration and educational outcomes for different subsets of the population. The inclusion of disaggregated achievement results such as the latter may underscore the importance of particular policies for immigrant children that have undergone multiple entry and re-entry processes within countries and their corresponding education systems.

Collectively, our efforts to not only understand the challenges of immigrant and refugee students but also to find ways to ameliorate these challenges are still in their infancy. The contextually and culturally responsive adaptation of promising policies and the implementation of new policies that effectively engage communities and enhance the skills of educators provide direction for our subsequent efforts. Of importance, such continued efforts will lead to a broader understanding and build a stronger foundation to support this critical population of children around the world.

References

- Alba, R., Sloan, J., & Sperling, J. (2011). The integration imperative: The children of low-status immigrants in the schools of wealthy societies. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 37(1), 395–415. doi:10.1146/annurev-soc-081309-150219
- Alberta Education. (2017). *English as a second language (7-9)*. Retrieved from <https://education.alberta.ca/english-as-a-second-language-7-9/english-as-a-second-language-7-9/?searchMode=3>
- Berry, J. W., Phinney, J. S., Sam, D. L., & Vedder, P. (2006). Immigrant youth: Acculturation, identity, and adaptation. *Applied psychology*, 55(3), 303-332.
- Bilgili, Ö. (2017). The “CHARM” Policy Analysis Framework: Evaluation of Policies to Promote Immigrant Students’ Resilience. *OECD Education Working Papers*, No. 158. Paris, France: OECD Publishing.
- Bilgili, Ö., Huddleston, T., & Joki, A. (2015). *The Dynamics between Integration Policies and Outcomes: A Synthesis of the Literature*. Barcelona, Spain: Centre for International Affairs.
- Boykin, A. W., Tyler, K. M., & Miller, O. (2005). In search of cultural themes and their expressions in the dynamics of classroom life. *Urban Education*, 40(5), 521-549.
- Braun, V. (2016). Standpoint theory in professional development: Examining former refugee education in Canada. *In Education*, 2, 72-86.
- British Columbia. (2013) *English language learning: Policy and guidelines*. Retrieved from <https://www2.gov.bc.ca/assets/gov/education/administration/kindergarten-to-grade-12/english-language-learners/guidelines.pdf>
- Brittain, C. (2002). *Transnational Messages: Experiences of Chinese and Mexican Immigrants in American Schools. The New Americans: Recent Immigration and American Society*. New York, NY: LFB Scholarly Publishg.
- Brunello, G., & Rocco, L. (2013). The effects of immigration on the school performance of natives: Cross country evidence using PISA test scores. *Economics of Education Review*, 32, 234-246.
- Cardoza, K. (2018, February 28). *In Canada’s Public Schools Immigrant Students are Thriving*. Retrieved from <https://www.edweek.org/ew/articles/2018/02/28/in-canadas-public-schools-immigrant-students-are.html>
- Çelikaksoy, A., & Wadensjö, E. (2016). Hur har de ensamkommande barnen det i Sverige? [What is the situation of unaccompanied migrant children in Sweden?]. *Socialmedicinsk Tidskrift*, 93(1). Retrieved from <http://socialmedicinsktidskrift.se/index.php/smt/article/view/1400>
- Cheng, L., & Yan, W. (2018). Chapter 9: Immigrant student achievement and education policy in Canada. In L. Volante, D. Klinger, & Ö. Bilgili (Eds.), *Immigrant student achievement and education policy: Cross-cultural approaches*. Dordrecht, Netherlands: Springer.
- Constantine, M., & Sue, D. W. (2006). Factors contributing to optimal human functioning in people of color in the United States. *The Counseling Psychologist*, 34(2), 228-244.
- Crosnoe, R. (2005). Double disadvantage or signs of resilience? The elementary school contexts of children from Mexican immigrant families. *American Educational Research Journal*, 42(2), 269-303.
- Driessen, G., & Merry, M. S. (2011). The effects of integration and generation of immigrants on language and numeracy achievement. *Education Studies*, 5, 581-592.
- Dronkers, J. & de Heus., M. (2013). Immigrant children’s academic performance: The influence of origin, destination and community. In H. D. Meyer & A. Benavot (Ed.), *PISA, Power, and Policy: the emergence of global educational governance* (pp. 247-265). Oxford: Symposium Books.

- Duong, M. T., Badaly, D., Liu, F. F., Schwartz, D., & McCarty, C. A. (2016). Generational differences in academic achievement among immigrant youths: A meta-analytic review. *Review of Educational Research*, 86(1), 3-41.
- Epple, D., Newlon, E., & Romano, R. (2002). Ability tracking, school competition, and the distribution of educational benefits. *Journal of Public Economics*, 83(1), 1-48.
- Grek, S. (2009). Governing by numbers: The PISA 'effect' in Europe. *Journal of Education Policy*, 24(1), 23-37.
- Harju-Luukkainen, H., & McElvany, N. (2018). Chapter 6: Immigrant Student Achievement and Education Policy in Finland. In L. Volante, D. Klinger, & Ö. Bilgili (Eds.), *Immigrant student achievement and education policy: Cross-cultural approaches*. Dordrecht, Netherlands: Springer.
- European Commission. (n.d.). *Refugee crisis in Europe*. Retrieved from <http://ec.europa.eu/echo/refugee-crisis>
- European Commission (2016). *PISA 2015: EU performance and initial conclusions regarding education policies in Europe*. Retrieved from https://ec.europa.eu/education/sites/education/files/pisa-2015-eu-policy-note_en.pdf
- Garver, R., & Noguera, P. (2015). Supported and unsafe: The impact of educational structures for immigrant students on school safety. *Youth Violence and Juvenile Justice*, 13(4), 323-344.
- Hong, J. S., Merrin, G. J., Crosby, S., Jozefowicz, D. M. H., Lee, J. M., & Allen-Meares, P. (2016). Individual and contextual factors associated with immigrant youth feeling unsafe in school: A social-ecological analysis. *Journal of Immigrant and Minority Health*, 18(5), 996-1006.
- Huddleston, T. (with Bilgili, Ö., Joki, A.-L., & Vankova, Z.). (2015). *Migrant Integration Policy Index 2015*. Barcelona/Brussels: CIDOB and MPG. Retrieved from <http://mipex.eu/sites/default/files/downloads/files/mipex-2015-book-a5.pdf>
- Katschnig, T., & Hastedt, D. (2017). *Too scared to learn? Understanding the importance of school safety for immigrant students*. (Policy Brief No. 15). Amsterdam, the Netherlands: International Association for the Evaluation of Educational Achievement.
- Law, D., Genç, M., & Bryant, J. (2013). Trade, diaspora, and migration to New Zealand. *The World Economy*, 36(5), 582-606. doi:10.1111/twec.12035
- Lundahl, L., & Lindblad, M. (2018). Chapter 5: Immigrant Student Achievement and Education Policy in Sweden. In L. Volante, D. Klinger, & Ö. Bilgili (Eds.), *Immigrant student achievement and education policy: Cross-cultural approaches*. Dordrecht, Netherlands: Springer.
- Levels, M., Dronkers, J., & Kraaykamp, G. (2008). Immigrant children's educational achievement in Western countries: Origin, destination, and community effects on mathematical performance. *American Sociological Review*, 73, 835-853.
- Manitoba Education and Advanced Learning. (2015). *Building hope: Refugee learner narratives*. Retrieved from https://www.edu.gov.mb.ca/k12/docs/support/building_hope/building_hope_print.pdf
- Marsh, D. (2015, August 28). We deride them as 'migrants' Why not call them people. *The Guardian*. Retrieved from <https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2015/aug/28/migrants-people-refugees-humanity>
- Meyer, H. D., & Zahedi, K. (2014). Open letter to Andreas Schleicher, OECD. *Policy Futures in Education*, 12(7), 872-877.
- Migrant Integration Policy Index. (2015). *Education: Key Findings*. Retrieved from <http://www.mipex.eu/education>

- New Zealand Ministry of Education. (2003). *English for speakers of other languages: Refugee handbook for schools*. Auckland: ESOL Team Auckland.
- New Zealand Ministry of Education. (2016). *English for speakers of other languages: Refugee handbook for schools*. Auckland: ESOL Team, National Operations Ministry of Education Auckland. Retrieved from <https://www.education.govt.nz/assets/Documents/School/Supporting-students/ESOL/Final-Refugee-Handbook-For-Schools.pdf>
- New Zealand Ministry of Education. (2018). *Refugee background students*. Retrieved from <https://www.education.govt.nz/school/student-support/student-wellbeing/refugee-background-students/>
- New Zealand Immigration. (2018). *New Zealand refugee quota programme*. Retrieved from <https://www.immigration.govt.nz/about-us/what-we-do/our-strategies-and-projects/supporting-refugees-and-asylum-seekers/refugee-and-protection-unit/new-zealand-refugee-quota-programme>
- Ontario Education. (2007). *English language learners: ESL and ELD programs and services. Policies and Procedures for Ontario Elementary and Secondary Schools, Kindergarten to Grade 12*. Retrieved from <http://www.edu.gov.on.ca/eng/document/esleldprograms/esleldprograms.pdf>
- Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development. (2011). *How are school systems adapting to increasing numbers of immigrant students?* (PISA in Focus, No. 11). Paris, France: OECD Publishing.
- Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development. (2012). *How do immigrant students fare in disadvantaged schools?* (PISA in Focus, No. 22). Paris, France: OECD Publishing.
- Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development. (2017). *How much of a problem is bullying at school?* (PISA in Focus, No. 74). Paris, France: OECD Publishing.
- Patel, S. G., Staudenmeyer, A. H., Wickham, R., Firmender, W. M., Fields, L., & Miller, A. B. (2017). War-exposed newcomer adolescent immigrants facing daily life stressors in the United States. *International Journal of Intercultural Relations*, 60, 120-131.
- Ratković, S., Kovačević, D., Brewer, C. A., Ellis, C., Ahmed, N., & Baptiste-Brady, N. (2017). *Supporting refugee students in Canada: Building on what we have learned in the past 20 years*. Report to Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada, Brock University, St. Catharines, ON.
- Pottie, K., Dahal, G., Georgiades, K., Premji, K., & Hassan, G. (2015). Do first generation immigrant adolescents face higher rates of bullying, violence and suicidal behaviours than do third generation and native born? *Journal of Immigrant and Minority Health*, 17(5), 1557-1566.
- Skeldon, R. (2013). *Global Migration: Demographic Aspects and Its Relevance for Development* (Technical Paper No. 2013/6). New York, NY: United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs.
- Schlicht-Schmalzle, R., & Moller, S. (2012). Macro-political determinants of educational inequality between immigrants and natives in Western Europe. *Western European Politics*, 35(5), 1044-1074.
- Statista. (2018). *Top five origin countries of refugees admitted to Canada in 2016*. Retrieved from <https://www.statista.com/statistics/550639/top-10-origin-countries-refugees-admitted-to-canada/>
- Statistics New Zealand. (2017). *Census*. Retrieved from <http://www.stats.govt.nz/Census.aspx>
- Teltemann & Rauch, D. (2018). Chapter 3: Immigrant Student Achievement and Education Policy in Germany. In L. Volante, D. Klinger, & Ö. Bilgili (Eds.), *Immigrant student achievement and education policy: Cross-cultural approaches* (pp. 35-52). Dordrecht, Netherlands: Springer.

- The Economist (2016, December 10). *Where immigrants go to school is more important than where they came from*. Retrieved from <https://www.economist.com/news/international/21711316-immigrant-childrens-performance-varies-widely-where-immigrants-go-school-more>
- Vogel, D. & Stock, E. (2017). *Opportunities and hope through education: How German schools include refugees*. Education International. Retrieved from https://issuu.com/educationinternational/docs/vogel_stockv9?e=3689445/55774886
- Volante, L. (Ed.). (2018). *The PISA Effect on Global Educational Governance*. New York & London: Routledge.
- Volante, L., Klinger, D., Bilgili, Ö., & Siegel, M. (2017). Making sense of the performance (dis)advantage for immigrant students across Canada. *Canadian Journal of Education*, 40(3), 329-361.
- Volante, L., Klinger, D., Siegel, M., & Bilgili, O. (2017). Immigrant youth help to build nations. *The Conversation*. Full text [online]: <https://theconversation.com/immigrant-youth-help-to-build-nations-79092>.
- Volante, L., Klinger, D., & Bilgili, O. (Eds.). (2018). *Immigrant Student Achievement and Education Policy: Cross-Cultural Approaches* (Policy Implications of Research in Education Series). Dordrecht, Netherlands: Springer Press.
- Volante, L., Klinger, D., Siegel, M., & Yahia, L. (2019). Raising the achievement of immigrant students: Towards a multi-layered framework for enhanced student outcomes. *Policy Futures in Education*. Full text [online]: <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/full/10.1177/1478210319835336>

The UNU-MERIT WORKING Paper Series

- 2019-01 *From "destructive creation" to "creative destruction": Rethinking Science, Technology and innovation in a global context* by Luc Soete
- 2019-02 *Do young innovative companies create more jobs? Evidence from Pakistani textile firms* by Waqar Wadho, Micheline Goedhuys and Azam Chaudhry
- 2019-03 *What gains and distributional implications result from trade liberalization?* by Maria Bas and Caroline Paunov
- 2019-04 *FDI, multinationals and structural change in developing countries* by André Pineli, Rajneesh Narula and Rene Belderbos
- 2019-05 *The race against the robots and the fallacy of the giant cheesecake: Immediate and imagined impacts of artificial intelligence* Wim Naudé
- 2019-06 *The middle-technology trap: The case of the automotive industry in Turkey* by Ibrahim Semih Akçomak and Serkan Bürken
- 2019-07 *The impact of a mathematics computer-assisted learning platform on students' mathematics test scores* by Marcelo Perera and Diego Aboal
- 2019-08 *Health insurance and self-employment transitions in Vietnam* by Nga Le, Wim Groot, Sonila M. Tomini and Florian Tomini
- 2019-09 *Knowledge economy and economic development in the Arab region* by Samia Mohamed Nour
- 2019-10 *Migration of higher education students from the North Africa region* by Samia Mohamed Nour
- 2019-11 *Job automation risk, economic structure and trade: a European perspective* by Neil Foster-McGregor, Önder Nomaler and Bart Verspagen
- 2019-12 *The breadth of preferential trade agreements and the margins of exports* by Rod Falvey and Neil Foster-McGregor
- 2019-13 *What a firm produces matters: diversification, coherence and performance of Indian manufacturing firms* by Giovanni Dosi, Nanditha Mathew and Emanuele Pugliese
- 2019-14 *Brazilian exporters and the rise of Global Value Chains: an empirical assessment* by Caio Torres Mazzi
- 2019-15 *How has globalisation affected the economic growth, structural change and poverty reduction linkages? Insights from international comparisons* by Aradhna Aggarwal
- 2019-16 *R&D, innovation and productivity* by Pierre Mohnen
- 2019-17 *Domestic intellectual property rights protection and exports: Accessing the credit channel* by Gideon Ndubuisi
- 2019-18 *The role of early-career university prestige stratification on the future academic performance of scholars* by Mario Gonzalez-Sauri and Giulia Rossello
- 2019-19 *The employment impact of product innovations in sub-Saharan Africa: Firm-level evidence* by Elvis Korku Avenyo, Maty Konte and Pierre Mohnen
- 2019-20 *Embodied and disembodied technological change: the sectoral patterns of job-creation and job-destruction* by G. Dosi, M. Piva, M. E. Virgillito and M. Vivarelli
- 2019-21 *Can we have growth when population is stagnant? Testing linear growth rate formulas and their cross-unit cointegration of non-scale endogenous growth models* by Thomas H.W. Ziesemer

- 2019-22 *Technical progress and structural change: a long-term view* by Alessandro Nuvolari and Emanuele Russo
- 2019-23 *No evidence of an oil curse: Natural resource abundance, capital formation and productivity* by Mueid al Raee, Denis Crombrughe and Jo Ritzen
- 2019-24 *Far from random? The role of homophily in student supervision* by Giulia Rossello and Robin Cowan
- 2019-25 *Semi-endogenous growth models with domestic and foreign private and public R&D linked to VECMs* by Thomas H. W. Ziesemer
- 2019-26 *Characterizing growth instability: new evidence on unit roots and structural breaks in long run time series* by Emanuele Russo, Neil Foster-McGregor and Bart Verspagen
- 2019-27 *Measuring attitudes on gender equality and domestic violence in the Arab context: The role of framing, priming and interviewer effects* by Ann-Kristin Reitmann, Micheline Goedhuys, Michael Grimm and Eleonora E. M. Nillesen
- 2019-28 *Imported intermediates, technological capabilities and exports: Evidence from Brazilian firm-level data* by Caio Torres Mazzi and Neil Foster-McGregor
- 2019-29 *Japan's productivity and GDP growth: The role of GBAORD, public and foreign R&D* by Thomas Ziesemer
- 2019-30 *The decline in entrepreneurship in the West: Is complexity ossifying the economy?* by Wim Naudé
- 2019-31 *Modern industrial policy in Latin America: Lessons from cluster development policies* by Carlo Pietrobelli
- 2019-32 *Testing the employment and skill impact of new technologies: A survey and some methodological issues* by Laura Barbieri, Chiara Mussida, Mariacristina Piva and Marco Vivarelli
- 2019-33 *The Potential for innovation in mining value chains. Evidence from Latin America* by Michiko Iizuka, Carlo Pietrobelli and Fernando Vargas
- 2019-34 *Enforcing higher labour standards within developing country value chains: Consequences for MNEs and informal actors in a dual economy* by Rajneesh Narula
- 2019-35 *A comment on the multifaceted relationship between multinational enterprises and within-country inequality* by Rajneesh Narula and Khadija van der Straaten
- 2019-36 *The effects of R&D subsidies and publicly performed R&D on business R&D: A survey* by Thomas H.W. Ziesemer
- 2019-37 *Does it pay to do novel science? The selectivity patterns in science funding* by Charles Ayoubi, Michele Pezzoni and Fabiana Visentin
- 2019-38 *Regulation and innovation under Industry 4.0: Case of medical/healthcare robot, HAL by Cyberdyne* by Michiko Iizuka and Yoko Ikeda
- 2019-39 *The future of work and its implications for social protection and the welfare state* by Franziska Gassmann and Bruno Martorano
- 2019-40 *Return, circular, and onward migration decisions in a knowledge society* by Amelie F. Constant
- 2019-41 *Mining and quality of public services: The role of local governance and decentralisation* by Maty Konte and Rose Camille Vincent
- 2019-42 *Corruption and tax morale in Africa* by Amadou Boly, Maty Konte and Abebe Shimeles
- 2019-43 *Remittances and Bribery in Africa* by Maty Konte and Gideon Ndubuisi

- 2019-44 *Women's Political and Reproductive Health Empowerment in Africa: A literature review* by Maty Konte, Victor Osei Kwadwo and Tatenda Zinyemba
- 2019-45 *The effect of public funding on scientific performance: A comparison between China and the EU* by Lili Wang, Xianwen Wang, Fredrik Niclas Piro and Niels J. Philipsen
- 2019-46 *Credit constraints and trade performance: Does trust-based social capital matter?*
By Gideon Ndubuisi and Maty Konte
- 2019-47 *The impact of mission-oriented R&D on domestic and foreign private and public R&D, total factor productivity and GDP* by Thomas H.W. Ziesemer
- 2019-48 *Confronting the challenge of immigrant and refugee student underachievement: Policies and practices from Canada, New Zealand and the European Union* by Özge Bilgili, Louis Volante, Don A. Klinger and Melissa Siegel