



UNITED NATIONS
UNIVERSITY

UNU-MERIT

Working Paper Series

#2016-044

Gini coefficients of education for 146 countries, 1950-2010

Thomas Ziesemer

Maastricht Economic and social Research institute on Innovation and Technology (UNU-MERIT)

email: info@merit.unu.edu | website: <http://www.merit.unu.edu>

Maastricht Graduate School of Governance (MGSoG)

email: info-governance@maastrichtuniversity.nl | website: <http://www.maastrichtuniversity.nl/governance>

Boschstraat 24, 6211 AX Maastricht, The Netherlands

Tel: (31) (43) 388 44 00

UNU-MERIT Working Papers

ISSN 1871-9872

**Maastricht Economic and social Research Institute on Innovation and Technology
UNU-MERIT**

**Maastricht Graduate School of Governance
MGSoG**

UNU-MERIT Working Papers intend to disseminate preliminary results of research carried out at UNU-MERIT and MGSoG to stimulate discussion on the issues raised.



Gini Coefficients of Education for 146 Countries, 1950-2010

Thomas Zieseemer*, Department of Economics, Maastricht University, and UNU-MERIT

First version 23-12-2011. This version August 2016.

Abstract

We provide Gini coefficients of education based on data from Barro and Lee (2010) for 146 countries for the years 1950-2010. We compare them to an earlier data set and run some related LOESS fit regressions on average years of schooling and GDP per capita, both showing negative slopes, and among the latter two variables. Tertiary education is shown to reduce education inequality. A growth regression shows that tertiary education increases growth, Gini coefficients of education have a u-shaped impact on growth and labour force growth has an inverted u-shape effect on growth. JEL codes: E24, I24, I25, O15, Y1.

Keywords

Human capital distribution, education inequality, growth, new data.

Introduction

The main purpose of this paper is to provide five-yearly data of Gini coefficients of education for 146 countries for the years 1950-2010. These data are based on data by Barro and Lee (2010, 2013). Earlier similar data had been provided by Thomas et al. (2000a,b), Castelló and Doménech (2002) and Földvári and van Leeuwen (2011). They have provided data sets with Gini coefficients for years of education in the population at age above 15 calculated from the data in Barro and Lee (1997, 2001) for five-year intervals ending in 2000 or earlier. Wail et al. (2011), Crespo-Cuaresma et al (2012, 2013) also have made such a data set differentiating by age and sex and going to 2010.¹ It is not clear from their paper that they also have the macro-versions. Sauer and Zagler (2014) did so for the period until 2005. Castelló and Doménech (2014) also have updated their data set. A curiosity here is that none of these authors give a download possibility for their data and therefore some have done at least partly duplication work. An exception are Jorda and Alonso 2015, who calculate not only Gini's and other measures, for 1970-2010, but also offer a www address. The main purpose of this paper is to make the Gini data for 1950-2010 publicly available because this format has the greatest overlap with that of widely used World Development Indicators starting in 1960.

* Address: P.O. Box 616, NL 6200 MD Maastricht. E-mail: T.Zieseemer@maastrichtuniversity.nl. Thanks go to Samyukta Bhupatiraju and Vinzenz Zieseemer for useful comments.

¹ A somewhat different format is the data set by Morrison and Murtin (2010) for 78 countries 1870-2010.

Methodology

We use the Barro and Lee (2010) data for no schooling, and total and completed primary, secondary and tertiary education. In order to be compatible with WDI data, we have turned the country codes ROM, ROU, COG in Barro/Lee (2010) into MDA, ROM, ZAR from the WDI, for Republic of Moldova, Romania, and Democratic Republic of Congo respectively. We use the formulas A-1 in Thomas et al (2000b) and Castelló-Climent (2004) to calculate the Gini coefficients of education. Similar formulas can be found in Thomas et al (2000a). These Gini coefficients can be found in the excel file at <http://www.merit.unu.edu/docs/ginipublicexcel.xls> or is available from the author upon email request. We compare them to those of Castelló and Doménech (2002) in Figure 1.² Deviations are due to data revisions in the more recent version of Barro and Lee (2010). As we have found a very good correlation with the data of Castelló and Doménech (2002), we continue using our own data in some regressions below.




Figure 1 Comparison of our data for Gini coefficients of education, gh15tz, with those of Castelló and Doménech (2002), gh15cd, for the years 1960-1995.³

² For a comparison of the data of Castelló and Doménech (2002) with those of Thomas et al (2000a,b) see Ziesemer (2011).

³ The last observation in the data set of Castelló and Doménech (2002) and Barro and Lee (2001) is for 1999, whereas in Barro and Lee (2010) the closest is for 2000.

Some data analysis

For the following regressions we use the Nearest Neighbor Fit or LOESS (also known as LOWESS) technique. We estimate a local linear regression using a bandwidth of 30% of the sample, meaning that the regression is repeated again and again for 30% of the observation and the result is attributed to the middle observation of these 30 percent, leading to a non-linear result. As the WDI do not include Reunion and Taiwan we omit them in the regressions, but they are included in the data set. In Figure 2 we reproduce an important result of Thomas et al (2000b) using our updated Gini coefficients. Gini coefficients of education go to zero when average years of schooling go the highest values achieved so far. Investment in comprehensive education inevitable reduces the inequality.




Figure 2 Higher average years of schooling lead to lower Gini coefficients of education in a panel of 144 countries.

The relation between Gini coefficients of education and GDP per capita is shown in Figure 3. Until roughly \$20000 (constant 2000) the Gini coefficients are falling at a decreasing rate.




Figure 3 For a panel of 144 countries 1960-2010 Gini coefficients of education are falling to 0.21 until a GDP per capita reaches about \$20000.

As we have regressed Gini coefficients of education on average years of schooling and GDP per capita, we also regress the latter two on each other in Figure 4a in the same Mincerian form as Barro and Lee (2010) did, but here without control variables. If the GDP variable is taken without logs in Figure 4b there is an increasing slope in the relation between GDP and average years of schooling.

We would like to point out though that the regressions of Figures 2-4 may have two-way causality and therefore a multi-equation analysis - perhaps together with other variables such as

the policy analysis in Ziesemer (2011) - will be carried out in future research to get better information on causality than single equation regression can offer.




Figure 4a Average years of education are positively and linearly correlated with the log (GDP per capita)




Figure 4b Average years of education are correlated with the GDP per capita with an increasing slope.

Gini coefficients of education and growth

Figure 5 indicates that there is no obvious relation between education Gini coefficients and growth without control variables.




Figure 5 Without control variables Gini coefficients of education have no impact on growth

When control variables are added, this may be different in a cross-section regression (Castelló and Doménech 2002). A growth regression with country fixed effects using the orthogonal deviation version of the system GMM method seems to support this for our panel data:⁴

$$\log(gdppc) = 0.8\log(gdppc(-5)) - 0.12GH15$$

However, adding period fixed effects or a time trend changes the sign of gh15:⁵

$$\log(gdppc) = 0.73\log(gdppc(-5)) + 0.32GH15 + 0.0045t + \text{constant}$$

⁴ p-values are 0.0000 and 0.0941. Instrument specification c, log(gdp(-10)), gh15 implies absence of over-identification constraints. Periods: 7 (1975-2005). Countries: 135. s.e. of regression is 0.144598.

⁵ p-values are 0.0000, 0.0043, 0.0000. Instrument specification c, log(gdp(-10)), gh15, @trend implies absence of over-identification constraints. Periods: 7 (1975-2005). Countries: 135. s.e. of regression is 0.143175.

Adding other control variables such as investment, labour force growth and school enrolment for tertiary education confirms this counterintuitive result but also yields an answer from the interpretation of the following regression:⁶

$$\log(gdppc) = 0.43\log(gdppc(-5)) + 0.31\log(gfcfgdp) + 5.15d(\log(lf)) - 105.2d(\log(lf))^2 + 0.084\log(seter(-5)) + 0.01t - 1.074gh15 + 1.81gh15^2 + constant$$

Labour force growth decreases growth only if higher than 2.45 percent. Investment and tertiary education enhance growth with decreasing marginal product because of the logarithm. Education inequality also enhances growth if Ginis are higher than 0.296. In a phase of development where the greatest country differences stem from tertiary education, the latter and education inequality enhanced by it may both be positive for growth. However, if tertiary education grows inequality decreases as can be seen here:

$$gh15 = c + 0.82gh15(-5) + 0.019d(\log(gdppc(-1))) - 0.0047\log SETER(-5)$$

GPD per capita growth is insignificant.⁷ Therefore we do not have to use lagged instruments for the Gini coefficients in the above equations, because growth does not affect them. The latter relation is in line with the data plot between Gini coefficients and tertiary enrolment in Figure 6. More tertiary education leads to more equality. The growth regression above therefore has two education effects: tertiary education can enhance it directly, but the reduction in inequality reduces growth because of the u-shape effect of inequality.

⁶ p-values are 0.0000, 0.0000, 0.0001, 0.0003, 0.0020, 0.0000, 0.0187, 0.0003. Instrument specification c, log(gdp(-10)), log(gfcfgdp(-1)), d(log(lf(-1))), d(log(lf(-1)))^2, log(seter(-5)) @trend gh15 gh15². gh15 implies absence of over-identification constraints. Periods: 4 (1990-2005). Countries: 112. s.e. of regression is 0.110658.

⁷ p-values are 0.0000, 0.4358, 0.0268, 0.0888. Instrument specification c, gh15(-10), d(log(gdp(-1))), seter(-5)², seter(-5)³, period fixed effects implies absence of over-identification constraints. Periods: 7 (1980-2010). Countries: 129. S.e. of regression: 0.018641.




Figure 6 The plot relation between tertiary enrolment and Gini coefficients is negative.

References

Barro, R.J. and Lee, J.W. (1996) International Measures of Schooling Years and Schooling Quality , *American Economic Review*, 86, 218-23.

Barro, R.J. and Lee, J.W. (2001) International Data on Educational Attainment: Updates and Implications, *Oxford Economic Papers*, 53, 541-63.

Barro, R.J. and Lee, J.W. (2010) A New Data Set of Educational Attainment in the World, 1950-2010. NBER Working Paper 15902. Published as

Barro, R. and J-W Lee (2013). "A New Dataset of Educational Attainment in the World, 1950-2010," *Journal of Development Economics*, 104, 184–198.

Castelló-Climent, Amparo (2004) A Reassessment of the Relationship between Inequality and Growth: What Human Capital Inequality data say. WP-EC 2004-15.

Amparo Castelló and Rafael Doménech (2002) Human Capital Inequality and Economic Growth: Some New Evidence. *The Economic Journal*, 112 (March), C187-C200.

Castelló-Climent, Amparo and Rafael Doménech (2014) Human Capital and Income Inequality: Some Facts and Some Puzzles. BBVA Working Paper N° 12/ 28, Madrid, March 2014.

Crespo-Cuaresma, Jesus, Samir K.C., Petra Sauer (2012) Gini Coefficients of Educational Attainment: Age Group Specific Trends in Educational (In)equality April 3.

Földvári, Péter and Bas van Leeuwen (2011): Should less inequality in education lead to a more equal income distribution?, *Education Economics*, 19:5, 537-554.

Jorda, Vanesa and Jose M. Alonso (2015) Measuring educational attainment as a continuous variable: a new database (1970-2010).

Morrison, Christian and Fabrice Murtin (2010) The Kuznets Curve of Education: A Global Perspective on Education Inequalities. CEE DP 116, June.

Sauer, Petra and Martin Zagler (2014) (In)equality in Education and Economic Development. Vienna University of Economics and Business, Department of Economics WP 163.

Thomas, V., Dailami, M. Dhareshwar, A. Kaufmann, D. Kishor, N. Lopez, R. Wang, Y. (2000a) *The Quality of Growth*, Oxford University Press, New York. <http://www.rrojasdatabank.info/qualitygrowthwb00-12.pdf>.

Thomas, V., Wang, Y. Fan, X. (2000b) Measuring Education Inequality: Gini Coefficients of Education, World Bank Institute Working Paper, Washington, D.C.. Also Policy Research Working Paper 2525, January 2001; revised mimeo October 2002.

Wail, Benaabdelaali, Hanchane Said, Kamal Abdelhak (2011) A New Data Set of Educational Inequality in the World, 1950–2010: Gini Index of Education by Age Group. From <http://ssrn.com/abstract=1895496>. Published as Chapter 13 'Educational Inequality in the World, 1950–2010: Estimates from a New Dataset', in John A. Bishop, Rafael Salas (ed.) *Inequality, Mobility and Segregation: Essays in Honor of Jacques Silber* (Research on Economic Inequality, Volume 20), Emer.

Ziesemer, T. (2011) What Changes Gini Coefficients of Education? On the dynamic interaction between education, its distribution and growth. UNU-MERIT WP 2011-053. <http://www.merit.unu.edu/publications/wppdf/2011/wp2011-053.pdf>

The UNU-MERIT Working Paper Series

- 2016-01 *Mexican manufacturing and its integration into global value chains* by Juan Carlos Castillo and Adam Szirmai
- 2016-02 *New variables for vocational secondary schooling: Patterns around the world from 1950-2010* by Alison Cathles
- 2016-03 *Institutional factors and people's preferences in social protection* by Franziska Gassmann, Pierre Mohnen & Vincenzo Vinci
- 2016-04 *A semi-endogenous growth model for developing countries with public factors, imported capital goods, and limited export demand* by Jan Simon Hallonsten and Thomas Zieseemer
- 2016-05 *Critical raw material strategies in different world regions* by Eva Barteková and René Kemp
- 2016-06 *On the value of foreign PhDs in the developing world: Training versus selection effects* by Helena Barnard, Robin Cowan and Moritz Müller
- 2016-07 *Rejected Afghan asylum seekers in the Netherlands: Migration experiences, current situations and future aspirations*
- 2016-08 *Determinants of innovation in Croatian SMEs: Comparison of service and manufacturing firms* by Ljiljana Bozic and Pierre Mohnen
- 2016-09 *Aid, institutions and economic growth: Heterogeneous parameters and heterogeneous donors* by Hassen Abda Wakoy
- 2016-10 *On the optimum timing of the global carbon-transition under conditions of extreme weather-related damages: further green paradoxical results* by Adriaan van Zon
- 2016-11 *Inclusive labour market: A role for a job guarantee scheme* by Saskia Klosse and Joan Muysken
- 2016-12 *Management standard certification and firm productivity: micro-evidence from Africa* by Micheline Goedhuys and Pierre Mohnen
- 2016-13 *The role of technological trajectories in catching-up-based development: An application to energy efficiency technologies* by Sheng Zhong and Bart Verspagen
- 2016-14 *The dynamics of vehicle energy efficiency: Evidence from the Massachusetts Vehicle Census* by Sheng Zhong
- 2016-15 *Structural decompositions of energy consumption, energy intensity, emissions and emission intensity - A sectoral perspective: empirical evidence from WIOD over 1995 to 2009* by Sheng Zhong
- 2016-16 *Structural transformation in Brazil, Russia, India, China and South Africa (BRICS)* by Wim Naudé, Adam Szirmai and Nobuya Haraguchi
- 2016-17 *Technological Innovation Systems and the wider context: A framework for developing countries* by Hans-Erik Edsand
- 2016-18 *Migration, occupation and education: Evidence from Ghana* by Clotilde Mahé and Wim Naudé
- 2016-19 *The impact of ex-ante subsidies to researchers on researcher's productivity: Evidence from a developing country* by Diego Aboal and Ezequiel Tacsir
- 2016-20 *Multinational enterprises and economic development in host countries: What we know and what we don't know* by Rajneesh Narula and André Pineli
- 2016-21 *International standards certification, institutional voids and exports from developing country firms* by Micheline Goedhuys and Leo Sleuwaegen

- 2016-22 *Public policy and mental health: What we can learn from the HIV movement* by David Scheerer, Zina Nimeh and Stefan Weinmann
- 2016-23 *A new indicator for innovation clusters* by George Christopoulos and Rene Wintjes
- 2016-24 *Including excluded groups: The slow racial transformation of the South African university system* by Helena Barnard, Robin Cowan, Alan Kirman and Moritz Müller
- 2016-25 *Fading hope and the rise in inequality in the United States* by Jo Ritzen and Klaus F. Zimmermann
- 2016-26 *Globalisation, technology and the labour market: A microeconomic analysis for Turkey* by Elena Meschi, Erol Taymaz and Marco Vivarelli
- 2016-27 *The affordability of the Sustainable Development Goals: A myth or reality?* By Patima Chongcharoentanawat, Kaleab Kebede Haile, Bart Kleine Deters, Tamara Antoinette Kool and Victor Osei Kwadwo
- 2016-28 *Mimetic behaviour and institutional persistence: a two-armed bandit experiment* by Stefania Innocenti and Robin Cowan
- 2016-29 *Determinants of citation impact: A comparative analysis of the Global South versus the Global North* by Hugo Confraria, Manuel Mira Godinho and Lili Wang
- 2016-30 *The effect of means-tested social transfers on labour supply: heads versus spouses - An empirical analysis of work disincentives in the Kyrgyz Republic* by Franziska Gassmann and Lorena Zardo Trindade
- 2016-31 *The determinants of industrialisation in developing countries, 1960-2005* by Francesca Guadagno
- 2016-32 *The effects of productivity and benefits on unemployment: Breaking the link* by Alessio J. G. Brown, Britta Kohlbrecher, Christian Merkl and Dennis J. Snower
- 2016-33 *Social welfare benefits and their impacts on labour market participation among men and women in Mongolia* by Franziska Gassmann, Daphne François and Lorena Zardo Trindade
- 2016-34 *The role of innovation and management practices in determining firm productivity in developing economies* by Wiebke Bartz, Pierre Mohnen and Helena Schweiger
- 2016-35 *Millennium Development Goals (MDGs): Did they change social reality?* by Janyl Moldaliev, Arip Muttaqien, Choolwe Muzyamba, Davina Osei, Eli Stoykova and Nga Le Thi Quynh
- 2016-36 *Child labour in China* by Can Tang, Liqiu Zhao, Zhong Zhao
- 2016-37 *Arsenic contamination of drinking water and mental health* by Shyamal Chowdhury, Annabelle Krause and Klaus F. Zimmermann
- 2016-38 *Home sweet home? Macroeconomic conditions in home countries and the well-being of migrants* by Alpaslan Akay, Olivier Bargain and Klaus F. Zimmermann
- 2016-39 *How do collaboration and investments in knowledge management affect process innovation in services?* by Mona Ashok, Rajneesh Narula and Andrea Martinez-Noya
- 2016-40 *Natural disasters and human mobility* by Linguère Mously Mbaye and Klaus F. Zimmermann
- 2016-41 *The chips are down: The influence of family on children's trust formation* by Corrado Giulietti, Enrico Rettore and Sara Tonini
- 2016-42 *Diaspora economics: New perspectives* by A.F. Constant and K.F. Zimmermann
- 2016-43 *Entrepreneurial heterogeneity and the design of entrepreneurship policies for economic growth and inclusive development* by Elisa Calza and Micheline Goedhuys

2016-44 *Gini coefficients of education for 146 countries, 1950-2010* by Thomas Ziese