GOVERNANCE OF INNOVATION POLICY

EMPIRICAL STUDIES ON APPLIED POLITICAL ECONOMY BY MULTI-METHOD ANALYSIS

SERDAR TÜRKELİ

GOVERNANCE OF INNOVATION POLICY SERDAR TÜRKELİ







GOVERNANCE OF INNOVATION POLICY

Empirical Studies on Applied Political Economy by Multi-method Analysis

Serdar Türkeli

ISBN 978 90 8666 398.9

Copyright © Serdar Türkeli, 2016

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form, or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior permission in writing, from the author.

Cover Image (Caption and Credit Information): Claude Monet (French, 1840-1926). Houses of Parliament, Sunlight Effect (Le Parlement, effet de soleil), 1903 Oil on canvas, 32 x 36 1/4 in. (81.3 x 92.1 cm) Brooklyn Museum, Credit Line: Bequest of Grace Underwood Barton, 68.48.1 Image: overall, 68.48.1_colorcorrected_SL1.jpg. Brooklyn Museum photograph, non-commercial use granted by Brooklyn

Museum. 11/07/2015

Publisher: Boekenplan, Maastricht

Governance of Innovation Policy: Empirical Studies on Applied Political Economy by Multi-method Analysis

DISSERTATION

to obtain the degree of Doctor at

Maastricht University,
on the authority of Rector Magnificus, Prof. dr. L.L.G. Soete,
in accordance with the decision of the Board of Deans,
to be defended in public on Wednesday, 3 February 2016, at 14:00 hours

by

Serdar Türkeli

Promoter

Prof. Dr. René Kemp

Assessment Committee

Prof. Dr. Bart Verspagen (Chair)

Prof. Dr. Susana Borrás (Copenhagen Business School, Denmark)

Dr. Rainer Quitzow (Free University of Berlin, Germany)

Prof. Dr. Luc Soete

Financial support for this dissertation has been provided by UNU-MERIT.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank my mother, a primary school teacher, Sebahat Türkeli, and my father, a medical doctor paediatrician, Dr. İsfendiyar Türkeli for providing me not only the existential opening but also the essential follow-up throughout my life so far. Their professions provided me with the tangible and intangible benefits of a healthy body and mind from a very early age. The idea of being born from a marriage of love always inspired me and nurtured my interests, accordingly. My sister, a medical doctor, genetics specialist, Dr. Özlem Türkeli also played a harmonising role by being beyond just a benchmark, a behavioural and a cognitive frontier.

I would like to thank Prof. Dr. Luc Soete; the book he wrote with Chris Freeman, The Economics of Industrial Innovation, changed the last decade of my life and will probably continue to do so in the future. The updated discussion on science and technology policy it provides broadened my view during my Master's studies in international engineering and technology management at the University of Duisburg-Essen, Germany. Back then, before reading the book, I was relying on the limited understanding that "the agents that drive innovation and the economy are large companies which have the capital to invest in research and development of new products and services and to deliver them to customers cheaper, thus raising their standard of living" (Schumpeter Mark II). Even before that, I had felt as a stand-alone engineer with entrepreneurial ambitions led by Schumpeter's Mark I: "The innovation and technological change of a nation come from the entrepreneurs or wild spirits doing new things or doing things that are already being done but in a new way." Simply put, Soete's book shed light on the fact that there were broader dynamics and showed me the path that I wanted to follow towards studying science, technology and innovation policy. Thank you, Luc.

I would like to thank Prof. Dr. René Kemp. He is more than a promoter or a supervisor to me. I consider him as a critical father without false consciousness who on genuine grounds intellectually challenges his academically-or-else learning son. I am very pleased to have met him back in 2010. He is one of the few people towards whom I could have a full portfolio of feelings of respect ranging from a default civilised social respect to hard-to-earn intellectual respect. He has made thematic and applied contributions in changing the world. I would also like to thank him for assessing my efforts positively and providing me access to the initial and further research opportunities. Studying and working under supervision of Prof. Kemp is a gift. Thank you, René.

I would like to thank Prof. Dr. Bart Verspagen for providing me teaching opportunities at Maastricht University. It is a privilege to teach the "Science, Technology and Innovation (STI) Policy" course he coordinates within the

"Innovation, Institutions and Development" specialisation track of the Master's programme, Public Policy and Human Development, at UNU-MERIT's Graduate School of Governance (MGSoG). Teaching this course gave me a chance to share with students from all over the world. Working with a highly respected economist such as Prof. Verspagen in this course motivated me to do my utmost in teaching. I am extremely happy with the good evaluations that I was able to receive from the students and to have been requested to serve as a supervisor or a second reader by more than one third of the innovation cohort each year for the last three years. When I hear graduate students who are now working for international organisations such as Nicole Webley at UNESCO, Juul Pinxten at World Bank, Arjun Tasker at USAID and experiencing their choices to keep in touch with me, makes me feel that I am doing something right in a right way that would have been impossible without Bart Verspagen. Thank you, Bart. In the field of teaching, I would also like to thank to the Education Director of MGSoG, Dr. Lutz Krebs for his exceptional coordination skills, efforts and advices.

I would like to thank the scholars whom I had the chance to *meet*, such as *Bob Jessop*, Jan Kooiman, *Rob Hoppe*, Phillip Cooke, Jan Rotmans, *Frank Geels*, *Bengt-Åke Lundvall*, *Susana Borrás*, *Stefan Kuhlman* and Jakob Edler. I would like to thank the scholars from Turkey, such as Prof. Dr. Erkan Erdil, Prof. Dr. Teoman Pamukçu, Dr. Yılmaz Üstüner, and Dr. Barış Çakmur at the Middle East Technical University, Ankara, Turkey, Science and Technology Policy Research Centre, METU-TEKPOL for providing me not only a competitive but also a cooperative intellectual background to communicate in the field of STI policy research.

I would like to thank the UNU-MERIT administrative personnel for their warm and concrete assistance, Susan Roggen, Janneke Knaapen, Anouk Mohammed-Dijkstra, Sueli Brodin and especially Wilma Coenegrachts and Eveline in de Braek. They are unique people with strong commitment. I would like to thank my friends for the memories that would fill quite some pages if written: Hampton, Güney, Oxana, Fırat, Mahmut, Andrea, Richard, Omar, Corinne, Paula, Giacomo, Valery, Alison, Elisa and Ibrahima, and many more people whom I am happy to have met, and with whom I will stay in touch for the rest of my life.

I would like to thank Deborah Wythe, Head of Digital Collections and Services at Brooklyn Museum, New York, for allowing me to use on the cover page of this thesis the painting "Houses of Parliament, Sunlight Effect" by Claude Monet who, according to Bernard Denvir, a distinguished art critic, is the most prolific of the Impressionists and the painter who most obviously embodies their aesthetic vision.

Serdar Türkeli, Maastricht, December 22, 2015

Contents

Acknowledgements	vi
List of Tables	xiii
Table of Figures	xv
CHAPTER 1 - Introduction	1
1.1. Background, relevance and primary theoretical conditions	
1.2. Centrality and embeddedness of policy as the object of analysis and of design	
1.3. Multi-method research and analysis	
1.3.1. Varieties of data types	
1.3.2. Varieties of methods	7
1.3.2.1. Methodological limitations:	9
1.4. Internal logic of this thesis	9
1.5. Conceptualisation and operationalisation of political economy and frameworks	-
1.5.1. Definitions of political economy	11
1.5.2. Political economy of technological change: A critical review	12
1.5.2.1. Production regimes	12
1.5.2.2. Systems of innovation	14
1.5.2.3. International, comparative political economy	17
1.5.2.4. Pushing forward on the political economy of technological change	19
1.6. Political economy as a system of ideas, interests and institutions	20
1.6.1. Interests, politics and policy	21
1.6.2. Ideas, politics and policy	21
1.6.3. Institutions, politics and policy dynamics	22
1.7. Analytical frameworks for each chapter	23
1.8. Research outline: Chapters of this thesis	30
Bibliography	38
CHAPTER 2 - Effective Research and Innovation (R&I) Policy in the EU-28: A configurational analysis of political governance determinants	
2.1. Introduction	46

2.2. The political governance literature on R&I policy	48
2.2.1. The relevance of a governance policy: State and market interactions in R&I policy	50
2.2.2. Political systems and innovation systems: A co-evolution perspective	50
2.2.3. Learning for policy-makers: A heterarchical learning perspective	51
2.2.4. R&I policy, public legitimacy and accountability: Socio-technical and socio-polinteractions	
2.2.5. Proximate political governance determinants of R&I policy	55
2.3. Data, Hypotheses and Methods	57
2.3.1. Data	57
2.3.1.1. The dependent variable / the outcome indicator	58
2.3.1.2. Explanatory variables / the conditions / indicators	58
2.3.1.2.1. Inclusion of Sectorial Line Ministries (ISLM)	58
2.3.1.2.2. Complementary Informal Inter-ministerial Coordination (CIIC)	59
2.3.1.2.3. Policy Communication (VHPC)	59
2.3.2.2.4. RIA with Sustainability Checks (RIASC)	60
2.3.2.2.5. Parliamentary Committees' Resources (PACR)	60
2.3.2.2.6. Media Coverage of R&I Policy and Politics (MCRIPP)	61
2.3.2.2.7. Societal Consultation (SPEC)	62
2.3.2.1. Method I: Ordered Logit Econometric Estimations and Results	63
2.3.2.2. Method II: Fuzzy-Set Qualitative Comparative Analysis (fs/QCA) and Results	65
2.4. Discussions of the results	73
2.5. Concluding remarks and avenues of future research	75
Bibliography	76
CHAPTER 3 - The Political Economy of Eco-innovation Governance in China and Eu A Comparative Perspective	
3.1. Background: European and Chinese Ambitions to Promote Eco-innovation	81
3.1.1. Analytical Framework	83
3.2. Comparing Eco-innovation Policies in Terms of Thematic Issues	85
3.3. Identifying Barriers to Eco-innovation	86
3.3.1. China	86
3.3.1.2. Provincial Level – Jiangsu – Identifying Barriers to Eco-Innovation	90

3.3.2. The European Union	91
3.3.2.1. Member States – Germany – Identifying barriers to Eco-innovation	93
3.4. Mix of Eco-innovation Policies	94
3.4.1. China	94
3.4.1.2. Province of Jiangsu – Mix of Eco-innovation Policies	96
3.4.2 The European Union	96
3.2.1. Member States - Germany - Mix of Eco-Innovation Policies	98
3.5. Policy Coordination and Adjustments in China and Europe	100
3.5.1. China	100
3.5.1.2. Province of Jiangsu – Policy Coordination and Adjustments	101
3.5.2. The European Union	102
3.5.2.1. Member States - Germany - Policy Coordination and Adjustments	102
3.6. The Political Economy of Eco-innovation Governance in China and Europe concluding remarks	
Bibliography	108
Photovoltaics (OPV) in different world regions: Northern America, Western East Asia	115
4.1. Introduction	116
4.2. Theoretical Background	118
4.3. Data, Measures and Methodology	122
4.4. Empirical Results	124
4.4.1. Company involvement/ Regional (Sub-national) Networking for OPV	124
4.4.2. Organisational Excellence, Policy and Programmes for OPV	127
4.4.3. International Cooperation	132
4.4.4. Commercialisation aspects	135
4.5. Evaluating the cognitive-institutional political economy element	142
4.6. Concluding Remarks	145
Bibliography	149
4.4.1. Company involvement/ Regional (Sub-national) Networking for OPV 4.4.2. Organisational Excellence, Policy and Programmes for OPV	124 127 132
·	
<u> </u>	
CHAPTER 5 - Multiplicities of Innovation, Governance and Societal Challer	nges: Social

5.1. Introduction	153
5.2. Theoretical background: Interactions between innovation, governance and challenges	
5.2.1. Innovation	155
5.2.2. Governance	158
5.2.3 Societal Challenges	161
5.3. Empirical Background: Innovation, governance and societal challenges in me areas	_
5.3.1. Innovation: empirical challenges	162
5.3.2 Governance: empirical challenges	164
5.3.3. Societal challenges: metropolitan regions	167
5.3.4. Metropolitan policies to address societal challenges	171
5.4. Analysis: Integrated and interacting social innovation, metropolitan governinitiatives for societal challenges	
5.4.1. Case I – Birmingham	172
5.4.2. Case II – Stockholm	174
5.4.3. Case III – Berlin	175
5.4.4. Case IV – Stuttgart	177
5.4.5. Case V - Barcelona	178
5.4.6. Case VI – Manchester	180
5.4.7. Other social innovation policy models and examples of projects	180
5.4.7.1. Promoting social innovation and social entrepreneurship	181
5.4.7.2. Smart City Initiatives	183
5.4.7.3. Living Labs	185
5.4.7.4. Collective Awareness Platforms and Open Data	187
5.5. Discussions: Towards a societal system of innovation?	190
5.6. Concluding remarks and avenues for future research: towards a societal innovation?	•
5.6.1 Systems approach and a critique: Too rigid	197
5.6.2. Systems approach and another critique: Out-of-the-system actors, actions and	
5.6.3. The lifeworld and a critique: Too abstract	200
5.6.3 The lifeworld and its external critique: Grassroots Initiatives	201

5.6.4 The case of social innovation	202
Bibliography	203
CHAPTER 6 - Conclusions and Concluding Remarks	210
Chapter 2 – Effective Research and Innovation (R&I) Policy in the EU: A Causa Configurational Analysis of Political Governance Determinants	
Methodological limitations of Chapter 2	214
Chapter 3 – The Political Economy of Eco-innovation Governance in China and Euro Comparative Perspective	
Methodological limitations of Chapter 3	217
Chapter 4 – The Political Economy of Research and Innovation in Organic Photovo (OPV) in Different World Regions	
Methodological limitations of Chapter 4	219
Chapter 5 – Multiplicities of Innovation, Governance and Societal Challenges: Innovation Cases from Metropolitan Areas in Europe	
Methodological limitations of Chapter 5	221
Appendices	st and
dialectical approaches	
Appendix 1.B - Summary of the construction of chapters	
Appendix 2.A.1 - Qualitative Investigation	
Appendix 2.A.2 - Quantitative Examination	
Appendix 2.A.3 - Preliminary Discussions on Potential Implications of Proximate Po Governance on Member State and Supranational Interactive Dimensions	
Appendix 3.A - Ten Themes of Eco-Innovation Policy in Europe	253
Appendix 4.A – OPV Global Survey – Information on Respondents	254
Appendix 4.B - Organic Photovoltaics (OPV) Global Survey	257
Appendix 5.A – Metropolitan Areas, Governance & Challenges & Technologies, Tox Societal System of Innovation Policy Instrument Design / Theory and Insights	
Addendum on valorisation	275
About the author	278

List of Tables

Table 1.1– Internal logic of the thesis	9
Table 1.2– Analytical frameworks and operationalisation of political economy: ideas, interests, and institutions / Chapter 2	24
Table 1.3 – Analytical frameworks and operationalisation of political economy: ideas, interests, and institutions / Chapter 3	26
Table 1.4– Analytical frameworks and operationalisation of political economy: ideas, interests, and institutions / Chapter 4	27
Table 1.5– Analytical frameworks and operationalisation of political economy: ideas, interests, and institutions / Chapter 5	29
Table 1.6 - Overview of empirical governance studies in the thesis	37
Table 2.1 – Mapping the theoretical backgrounds of indicator selection	56
Table 2.2 – Descriptive Statistics	63
Table 2.3 – Ordered-Logit Estimation Results	64
Table 2.4 – Calibration: the Outcome, Five Conditions, Fuzzy Values	67
Table 2.5 – Analysis of Necessary Conditions – Presence of the outcome (Effective R&I Policy)	68
Table 2.6 – Analysis of Necessary Conditions – Absence of the outcome (Ineffective R&I Policy)	68
Table 2.7 – Sufficient combinations of conditions for effective R&I Policy	70
Table 2.8 – Sufficient combinations of conditions for ineffective R&I Policy	71
Table 4.1 - Systems of Innovation Frameworks	.121
Table 4.2- Characteristics of Varieties of Capitalism	.122
Table 4.3– Barriers to Commercialisation	.136
Table 4.4– Does your own Research have commercial value?	.136
Table 5.1 – Summary and mapping of empirical cases analysed in this chapter	.189
Table 5.2 –Interactions between three systems of Innovation in Metropolitan Areas	.192
Table 1.A – Interactions between the political and the economic: monist, dualist and dialectical approaches	. 222
Table 1.B - Summary of the construction of chapters	.224
Table 2.A.1.1 - A review of "Political Governance" articles	.226
Table 2.A.1.2 - Showcases for theoretically-informed selected indicators	.229
Table 2.A.2.1 –Proximate political governance models and data	.232
Table 2.A.2.2 – Correlation Table (Proximate and Distal Indicators)	.233

Table 2.A.2.3.1 - Descriptive Statistics – Dependent Variable	235
Table 2.A.2.3.2 - Skewness/Kurtosis tests for Normality:	235
Table 2.A.2.3.3 - Correlations (Spearman for ordinal variables)	236
Table 2.A.2.3.4 - Collinearity Diagnostics for system of variables	236
Table 2.A.2.3.5 - Measures of fit (ordered logit)	237
Table 2.A.2.3.6 - Odds Ratios	237
Table 2.A.2.3.7 - Odds Ratios (log Media)	238
Table 2.A.2.3.8 - Marginal effects of each independent variable	239
Table 2.A.2.3.9 - Marginal effects of each independent variable (log Media)	240
Table 2.A.2.3.10 - Media Coverage in Research & Innovation Policy and Politics / Lexis N 1 May 2011- 15 May 2013	
Table 2.A.2.3.11 - Regression Results: Proximate political governance, three sub-models.	243
Table 2.A.2.3.12.a - Index Formation with PCA and Equal Weights/Formal Coordination	.244
Table 2.A.2.3.12.b – Index Formation with PCA and Equal Weights/Informal Coordination	on
	245
Table 2.A.2.3.12.c – Index Formation with PCA and Equal Weights/Communicative Coordination	246
Table 2.A.2.3.13 – Correlations of our Indices with WGI indicators	247
Table 2.A.2.3.14 – Picking the Distal (action-oriented) Variables	247
Table 2.A.2.3.15 – Regression results with Sub-Models (with different index creation methods, and broader/distal institutional conditions)	248
Table 4.A.1 - Roles in Research: Presence of Multiple Roles	255
Table 4.A.2 - Inter-Organisational Occupations in Research in Major World Regions	
Table 4.A.3 – Respondents' Local/Regional Breakdown	256
Table 5.A.1 - Metropolitan areas	271
Table 5.A.2 – Technology Research Area Distribution in Governance-related Scientific Publications, 2012	272
Table 5.A.3 – Towards a Societal System of Innovation and Theoretical Combinations	273
Table 5.A.4 – Towards a Societal System of Innovation and its Generalised Framework towards Policy Instrument Design – Insights	274

Table of Figures

Figure 1.1 – Existing conceptions of modes of governance in politics, polity and policy dimensions	5
Figure 2.1– Solution Plot – Presence of Effective R&I Policy	72
Figure 2.2– Solution Plot – Absence of Effective R&I Policy	73
Figure 3.2 – Annual Solar PV Production in Selected Countries, 1995-2010	89
Figure 3.3 – Barriers to accelerated eco-innovation and uptake development	92
Figure 3.4 – Solar PV Cumulative Annual Capacity by region MW 2001-2012	92
Figure 3.5 - Revenues generated by major solar PV companies by Region, US\$ m, 2009	93
Figure 3.6 – FP7 (first 4 years) and FP6 Investment in Solar PV	97
Figure 3.7 - Multi-level structure of financing instruments	98
Figure 3.8 – Breakdown of approved solar PV funding in Germany	99
Figure 3.9 - PV Support Measures in China in 2009	101
Figure 4.1– Regional Network involving companies (Left) and Degree of Company Involvement (Right)	124
Figure 4.2– Degree of Company Involvement in Major World Regions	124
Figure 4.3 – What role do industrial needs play in OPV research? (%)	125
Figure 4.4– Degree of Local Authorities Involvement in Partnerships and Breakdown (%	5)125
Figure 4.5– Involvement of Researchers in Policy Discussions (%)	126
Figure 4.6 – Conflicts of Interest, National Platform and Regional breakdown (%)	126
Figure 4.7- Excellence with respect to Field and Type of Research	127
Figure 4.8– Policy Support Spreading over too many technologies (%)	128
Figure 4.9 – Portfolio Broadness of OPV Research (%)	128
Figure 4.10– Level of Public Funding (%)	129
Figure 4.11– Funding Levels in the last 5 years (%)	129
Figure 4.12 – OPV Research Agenda too much determined by short term industrial need	ls130
Figure 4.13- OPV Research Agenda too much determined by Science	130
Figure 4.14- OPV Research Agenda too much determined by political/government missi	
Figure 4.15 – Partly supported through a national programme (Left); benefitting from a regional (sub-national) programme (Right)	132
Figure 4.16 – Level of International Cooperation	132
Figure 4.17– Most valuable aspects of EU projects	133

Figure 4.18 - Cooperation inside Europe (Left); Cooperation outside Europe (Right)	133
Figure 4.19– Policy Coordination between regional and national level	134
Figure 4.20- Answers to statement that "policy support is too fragmented"	134
Figure 4.21– How important is commercialisation of OPV in Support programmes	135
Figure 4.22- Obtained Patent (%) (Left); Regional Breakdown (%) (Right)	137
Figure 4.23- Licensing Research Findings (%) (Left); Regional Breakdown (%) (Right)	137
Figure 4.24- Discoveries not patented (%) (Left); Regional Breakdown (%) (Right)	138
Figure 4.25- Reasons for not patenting (%) (Left); Regional Breakdown (%) (Right)	138
Figure 4.26– OPV Research Spin-offs (%)	139
Figure 4.27– Likely to be most successful country in commercialisation of OPV invention	
Figure 4.28 – Success factors for Commercialisation	140
Figure 4.29- Possibilities for commercialisation Europe (Left); China (Right)	140
Figure 4.30- Which markets are targeted for OPV Applications?	141
Figure 4.31– Expectation on the First Market Applications (%)	141
Figure 4.32 – System Scores of Knowledge Creation and Knowledge Dissemination	142
Figure 5.1 - Average characteristics of the Regions (NUTS) of the 29 largest Metropolitar Areas in Europe, EU27=100	
Figure 5.2 - 1988 – 1990 Constituent Research Sub-areas of Governance Research	158
Figure 5.3 - 2010 – 2012 Constituent Research Sub-areas of Governance Research	159
Figure 5.4 - Multi-dimensional Prerequisites: Societal Challenges, Governance and Innovation	162
Figure 5.5 - Average characteristics of the Regions (NUTS) of the 29 largest Metropolitar Areas in Europe, EU27=100	
Figure 5.6 - Quality of government in London compared to UK and other regions	167
Figure 5.7 – Towards a Societal System of Innovation?	192
Figure 5.8 – Interactions of Regional and Sectoral Systems in Smart Specialisation	194
Figure 5.9 – Interactions of Sectoral and Technological Systems in Industrial Strategies	195
Figure 5.10– Interactions of policy networks for policy decisions in Governance	196
Figure 2.A.3.1 – Play of proximate political governance at Member State level	250
Figure 2.A.3.2 – An International Architecture for Proximate Political Governance for effective R&I Policy	252
Figure 4.A.1- % in Publications in OPV vs. % in Responses in OPV Survey	254